rayny

Palladium-Catalyzed Regio- and Stereoselective γ‑Arylation of Tertiary Allylic Amines: Identification of Potent Adenylyl Cyclase Inhibitors

Zhishi Ye,[†] Tarsis F. Brust,[‡] Val J. Watts,*^{,‡} and Mingji Dai^{*,†}

 † Department of Chemistry and Center for Cancer [Res](#page-2-0)earch and ‡ Departme[nt](#page-2-0) of Medicinal Chemistry & Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [Substituted al](#page-2-0)lylic amines and their derivatives are key structural motifs of many drug molecules and natural products. A general, mild, and practical palladium-catalyzed γarylation of tertiary allylic amines, one of the most challenging Heck arylation substrates, has been developed. The γ-arylation products were obtained in excellent regio- and stereoselectivity. Moreover, novel and potent adenylyl cyclase

inhibitors with the potential for treating neuropathic and inflammatory pain have been identified from the γ-arylation products.

γ-Arylated N,N-dialkylallylamines are ubiquitous structural motifs and have been widely found in biologically active natural products, life-saving drugs, and other molecules of important functions.¹ For example, naftifine $(1,$ Figure 1A) is an allylamine antifungal drug and selectively blocks sterol biosynthesis via in[h](#page-2-0)ibition of the squalene 2,3-exp[ox](#page-1-0)idase enzyme.² Cinnarizine (2) and flunarizine (3) are effective calcium channel blockers and are characterized as antihistamines. [T](#page-2-0)hey have been widely used for various conditions such as nausea, vertigo, migraine, and vascular diseases.³ Abamine SG (4) is an abscisic acid biosynthesis inhibitor to target 9-ci[s](#page-2-0)-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase.⁴ Various strategies have been developed for the construction of γ -arylated N,Ndialkylallylamine derivatives.⁵ One of the [m](#page-2-0)ost desirable and straightforward ways would be a Heck arylation of N,Ndialkylallylamines at the ter[m](#page-2-0)inal olefinic carbon (γ-position). In general, Heck arylations work well with activated and biased olefins such as acrylates and styrenes.⁶ Recently, significant progress in the Heck arylation of electronically nonbiased olefins have been made by the group[s](#page-3-0) of Sigman (cf. eq 1, Figure 1B), Zhou, Jamison, Stahl, and others. Because of these elegant studies, regio- and stereoselective arylations of simple termin[al](#page-1-0) olefins such as allylic alcohols, ho[m](#page-3-0)oallylic alcohols, and other unactivated olefins have become important and powerful synthetic tools in preparing complex and functional molecules.

Despite these significant advancements, stereoselective γarylation of N,N-dialkylallylamines persists as a great synthetic challenge due to the following difficulties presented by N,Ndialkylallylamine substrates. (I) The strong basicity and coordinating capability of these tertiary amines make most of the Heck arylation conditions invalid for γ-arylation. For example, Hallberg and Wu have independently reported palladium-catalyzed Heck arylation of N,N-dialkylallylamines, and in both cases, β -arylated products were obtained

predominantly due to the intrinsic directing ability of the nitrogen atom (eq 2, Figure 1B). 8 (II) Oxidative addition of palladium(0) catalyst with allylamines to form π -allyl palladium species competes with the [H](#page-1-0)ec[k](#page-3-0) arylation, which renders allylamines as allyl group donors (eq 3, Figure 1B) or amine sources.^{8a} Zhang and Tan have independently reported elegant examples of using allylamines as allyl donors.⁹ (I[II\)](#page-1-0) Selective β hydride [e](#page-3-0)limination (H_a vs H_b , eq 5, Figure 1B) to form the desired product remains as an issue as we[ll.](#page-3-0) In order to get around these intrinsic competing factors and [ob](#page-1-0)tain desired γarylated products, protecting and directing group strategies have been employed (eq 4, Figure 1B).¹⁰ The basic nitrogen atoms are masked as amides, carbamates, etc. The protecting groups also serve as directing grou[ps](#page-1-0) t[o e](#page-3-0)nsure the arylation takes place at the γ-position. Still, in some of these cases poor regioselectivity or β -arylation were obtained.¹¹ In addition to the installation of the required protecting and directing groups, extra steps are required to remove them or co[nv](#page-3-0)ert them to the desired alkyl groups. Our recent need of diverse γ-arylated N,Ndialkylallylamines with potential CNS activity¹² (cf. 7aa, Table 1) prompted us to develop a straightforward synthesis of them (eq 5, Figure 1B). Herein, we report a mild p[alla](#page-3-0)dium-catalyzed [re](#page-1-0)gio- and stereoselective γ-arylation of N,N-dialkylallylamines and the identification of potent adenylyl cyclase inhibitors with therapeutic p[ot](#page-1-0)ential for treating neuropathic and inflammatory pain from the arylation products.

Since aryl diazonium salts have been used frequently in Heck arylation of nonactivated olefins, 7d our investigation started with phenyl diazonium tetrafluoroborate (6a) and a relatively complex and challenging allylic a[min](#page-3-0)e substrate, 5a. In order to override the intrinsic coordination of the tertiary nitrogen with palladium center to control the regioselectivity, 2,2′-bipyridine

Received: December 29, 2014 Published: February 10, 2015

Figure 1. Selected allylic amine drugs, Heck arylation of nonbiased olefins, current status of arylation of allylic amine derivatives, and our method.

ligand L1 was selected. When 5a (1 equiv) and 6a (1.5 equiv) were subjected to the conditions of $Pd(dba)$ ₂ with L1 (Pd:L = 1:1.2) at room temperature in DMF, the desired product 7aa was obtained in 54% yield. Other solvents such as THF, $CH₃CN$, DMSO, and DMA are inferior to DMF or completely inhibit the reaction (see the Supporting Information). Slightly reduced yield was obtained when the reaction was conducted at an elevated temperature (ent[ry 3\). Bases such as trie](#page-2-0)thylamine and K_2CO_3 shut down the reaction (entries 4 and 5). A further increase in the amount of 6a or the catalyst−ligand loading has beneficial effects. We also found that among the four nitrogencontaining bidentate ligands tested, L4 gave the best result (entry 12). The reaction yield dropped significantly when several bidentate phosphine ligands were used (see the Supporting Information). Other palladium catalysts including $PdCl₂$, $Pd(PPh₃)₄$, and $Pd(OAc)₂$ were less effective than $Pd(dba)₂$ Overall, under the optimized conditions (entry 12), [the](#page-2-0) [desired](#page-2-0) [product](#page-2-0) [co](#page-2-0)uld be produced in 90% yield with excellent regio- and stereoselectivity.

We then evaluated the substrate scope. As summarized in Figure 2, this reaction is very general and compatible with many functional groups including halogens (I, Br, Cl, and F), ester groups[, a](#page-2-0)mides, nitro groups, and indoles. Diazonium salts with both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups are effective coupling partners. A variety of γ-arylated N,Ndialkylallylamines were produced in good to excellent yield, including those derived from azepanes, diazepanes, and piperazines. Notably, we were able produce naftifine (1) in 90% yield. When the reaction was conducted on a gram scale, naftifine (1) was obtained in 72% yield. Cinnarizine (2) and flunarizine (3) were synthesized smoothly as well, while the corresponding substrates present several challenging factors

			PhN ₂ BF ₄	y mol % Pd cat.			
	5a		x equiv 6a	ligand, solvent, rt			7aa
entry	Pd cat.	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$	\mathcal{Y}	solvent	ligand	base	yield ^b $(\%)$
1	$Pd(dba)$,	1.5	5	DMF	L1		54
$\overline{2}$	Pd(dba) ₂	1.5	5	DMA	L1		33
3	Pd(dba)	1.5	5	DMF	L1		49 ^c
$\overline{4}$	Pd(dba)	1.5	5	DMF	L1	Et ₃ N	$\mathbf{0}$
5	Pd(dba) ₂	1.5	5	DMF	L1	K_2CO_3	0
6	$Pd(dba)$ ₂	2.0	5	DMF	L1		73
7	$Pd(dba)$ ₂	2.5	5	DMF	L1		71
8	$Pd(dba)$,	2.0	8	DMF	L1		80
9	Pd(dba)	2.0	10	DMF	L1		85
10	Pd(dba)	2.0	10	DMF	L2		81
11	Pd(dba)	2.0	10	DMF	L ₃		54
12	Pd(dba) ₂	2.0	10	DMF	L ₄		90
13	$Pd(dba)$ ₂	2.0	10	DMF			54
14	Pd(OAc)	2.0	10	DMF	L ₄		55
15	PdCl ₂	2.0	10	DMF	L ₄		trace
16	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	2.0	10	DMF	L ₄		trace
Nonyl Nonyl P L1 L2 L3							Ph

a General reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: A mixture of palladium catalyst and ligand in DMF was stirred at rt for 20 min under argon before 5a and 6a were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by thin-layer chromatography. ^bIsolated reaction yield. 60° C.

including two basic nitrogen atoms, potential debenzylation, and deallylation reactions.

Additionally, these γ -arylated products could be converted to other useful products as well (Scheme 1). For example, amine oxidation followed by [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement converted 1 to 11.¹³ Dih[yd](#page-2-0)roxylation or hydrogenation converted 1 to 12 or 13, respectively, in good yields. A one-pot, doublebond isomeriz[ati](#page-3-0)on followed by Picktet−Spengler reaction coverted 7aa to tetrahydro- β -carboline 14^{14} The latter represents the core structure of many bioactive natural products and pharmaceutical molecules.¹⁵

We then evaluated these γ -arylated N,N-dialkylallylamine products for their ability to [inh](#page-3-0)ibit adenylyl cyclase activity. Adenylyl cyclases are enzymes that catalyze the production of cAMP from ATP. These enzymes are very important mediators of signaling through G protein-coupled receptors.¹⁶ There are nine different isoforms of membrane-bound adenylyl cyclases, each of which displays unique regulatory pr[op](#page-3-0)erties and expression patterns. Adenylyl cyclase type I (AC1) belongs to a family of adenylyl cyclases that are stimulated by calcium in a calmodulin-dependent manner.¹⁷ Notably, AC1 has been associated with chronic pain responses in several regions of the central nervous system.¹⁸ It [has](#page-3-0) been previously shown that administration of NB001, a small molecule inhibitor of AC1 $(IC₅₀ = 10 \mu M)$ in cell mod[els](#page-3-0)) leads to analgesic effects in both inflammatory and neuropathic pain rodent models.¹⁹

To determine whether these γ -arylated products have an inhibitory effect on AC1 activity, HEK (human [e](#page-3-0)mbryonic kidney) cells stably expressing AC1 (HEK-AC1) were

Figure 2. Substrate scope.

employed, and inhibition of Ca^{2+} -stimulated cAMP accumulation was determined. A23187 is a Ca^{2+} ionophore that promotes Ca^{2+} binding to calmodulin in the cells and subsequent stimulation of AC1. As expected, treatment of HEK-AC1 cells with A23187 led to a robust increase in cAMP accumulation consistent with Ca^{2+}/c almodulin activation of AC1 (data not shown). Several of the γ-arylated N,Ndialkylallylamine products from the 7a−c and 7j series dosedependently inhibited this cAMP response (Table 2). These compounds displayed IC_{50} values in the low micromolar range, with the most potent being 7ag, with an IC_{50} value of 6.91 $(\pm 0.50) \mu M$.

Table 2. AC1 Inhibition

In summary, we have developed a general and practical palladium-catalyzed γ-arylation of tertiary allylic amines. The arylated products were obtained in high regio- and stereoselectivity. We have applied it to prepare several drug molecules including naftifine, cinarizine, flunarizine, and their analogues. Moreover, we have identified potent adenylyl cyclase type I inhibitors from the γ -arylation products. These novel AC1 inhibitors are of great potential for treating neuropathic and inflammatory pain. The new synthetic capability developed here will also facilitate the optimization of these lead compounds in terms of potency, isoform selectivity, and pharmacological properties.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization for new compounds are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: wattsv@purdue.edu. *E-mail: mjdai@purdue.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Yu Xia and her group at Purdue University for mass spectrometric assistance. M.D. acknowledges startup support from the Purdue Chemistry Department and Center for Cancer Research. We thank the NIH (P30CA023168) for supporting shared NMR resources at the Purdue Center for Cancer Research.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For a review, see: Johannsen, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1689−1708.

(2) Stütz, A.; Georgopoulos, A.; Granitzer, W.; Petranyi, G.; Berney, D. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 112-125.

(3) St-Onge, M.; Dubé, P.-A.; Gosselin, S.; Guimont, C.; Godwin, J.; Archambault, P. M.; Chauny, J.-M.; Frenette, A. J.; Darveau, M.; Le Sage, N.; Poitras, J.; Provencher, J.; Juurlink, D. N.; Blais, R. Clin. Toxicol. 2014, 52, 926−944.

(4) Kitahata, N.; Han, S.-Y.; Noji, N.; Saito, T.; Kobayashi, M.; Nakano, T.; Kuchitsu, K.; Shinozaki, K.; Yoshida, S.; Matsumoto, S.; Tsujimoto, M.; Asami, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 5555−5561.

(5) For leading references, see: (a) Xie, Y.; Hu, J.; Wang, Y.; Xia, C.; Huang, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20613−20616. (b) Banerjee, D.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1630−1635. For selected reviews, see: (c) Overman, L. E.; Carpenter, N. E. Org. React. 2005, 66, 1. (d) Hartwig, J. F.; Stanley, L. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1461−1475. (e) Ramirez, T. A.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 931−942.

Organic Letters **Letters and Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine**

(6) For a review, see: Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000 , 100, 3009 −3066.

(7) For a review, see: (a) Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014 , 53, 2282 −2285. For examples, see: (b) Olofsson, K.; Larhed, M.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. 1998 , 63, 5076 −5079. (c) Werner, E. W.; Mei, T.-S.; Burckle, A. J.; Sigman, M. S. *Science* **2012**, 338, 1455–1458. (d) Werner, E. W.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011 , 133, 9692 − 9695. (e) Werner, E. W.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010 , 132 , 13981 −13983. (f) Hu, J.; Hirao, H.; Li, Y.; Zhou, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013 , 52, 8676 −8680. (g) Wu, C.; Zhou, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014 , 136, 650 −652. (h) Qin, L.; Ren, X.; Lu, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhou, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012 , 51, 5915 −5919. (i) Zheng, C.; Wang, D.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012 , 134, 16496 −16499. (j) Tasker, S. Z.; Gutierrez, A. C.; Jamison, T. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014 , 53, 1858 − 1861.

(8) (a) Olofsson, K.; Larhed, M.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. 2000 , 65 , 7235 −7239. (b) Wu, J.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Davies, I. W.; Reider, P. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 159-162.

(9) (a) Zhao, X.; Liu, D.; Guo, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011 , 133, 19354 −19357. (b) Li, M.-B.; Wang, Y.; Tan, S.-K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012 , 51, 2968 −2971. (c) Wu, X.-S.; Chen, Y.; Li, M.-B.; Zhou, M.-G.; Tian, S.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012 , 134 , 14694 −14697.

(10) For examples, see: (a) Prediger, P.; Barbosa, L. F.; Genisson, Y.; ́ Correia, C. R. D. J. Org. Chem. 2011 , 76, 7737 −7749. (b) Ripin, D. H. B.; Bourassa, D. E.; Brandt, T.; Castaldi, M. J.; Frost, H. N.; Hawkins, J.; Johnson, P. J.; Massett, S. S.; Neumann, K.; Phillips, J.; Raggon, J. W.; Rose, P. R.; Rutherford, J. L.; Sitter, B.; Stewart, A. M., III; Vetelino, M. G.; Wei, L. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2005**, *9*, 440−450. , (c) Alvisi, D.; Blart, E.; Bonini, B. F.; Mazzanti, G.; Ricci, A.; Zanti, P. J. Org. Chem. 1996 , 61, 7139 −7146. (d) Dong, Y.; Busacca, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997 , 62, 6464 −6465. (e) Reddington, M. V.; Cunninghan-Bryant, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 181-183. (f) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Goggiamani, A.; Sferrazza, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 1727−1730. (g) Millet, A.; Baudoin, O. Org. Lett. , 2014, 16, 3998 −4000.

(11) Olofsson, K.; Sahlin, H.; Larhed, M.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. 2001 , 66, 544 −549.

(12) Ajay; Bemis, G. W.; Murcko, M. A. J. Med. Chem. 1999 , 42 , 4942 −4951.

(13) (a) Bao, H.; Qi, X.; Tambar, U. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011 , 133 , 1206–1208. (b) Bao, H.; Qi, X.; Tambar, U. K. Synlett 2011, 1789– 1792.

(14) (a) Ascic, E.; Jensen, J. F.; Nielsen, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011 , 50, 5188 −5191. (b) Ascic, E.; Hansen, C. L.; Le Quement, S. T.; Nielsen, T. E. Chem. Commun. 2012 , 48, 3345 −3347. (c) Hansen, C. L.; Clausen, J. W.; Ohm, R. G.; Ascic, E.; Le Quement, S. T.; Tanner, D.; Nielsen, T. E. J. *Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12545−12565*. (d) Cai, Q.; Liang, X.-W.; Wang, S.-G.; Zhang, J.-W.; Zhang, X.; You, S.-L. Org. Lett. 2012 , 14, 5022 −5025. (e) Cai, Q.; Liang, X.-W.; Wang, S.-G.; You, S.-L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1602–1605.

(15) (a) Stö ckigt, J.; Antonchick, A. P.; Wu, F.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011 , 50, 8538 −8564. (b) Cao, R.; Peng, W.; Wang, Z.; Xu, A. Curr. Med. Chem. 2007, 14, 479–500.

(16) Cooper, D. M.; Crossthwait, A. J. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2006 , 27, 426 −431.

(17) Sadana, R.; Dessauer, C. W. Neurosignals 2009, 17, 5-22.

(18) Zhou, M. Drug Discovery Today 2012 , 17, 573 −582.

(19) Wang, H.; Xu, H.; Wu, L.-J.; Kim, S. S.; Chen, T.; Koga, K.; Descalzi, G.; Gong, B.; Vadakkan, K. I.; Zhang, X.; Kaang, B.-K.; Zhuo, M. Sci. *Transl. Med.* **2011**, 3, 65ra3. ,

895